



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/727,433	12/04/2003	Anatoly S. Belkin	CE10865R/10-167	6826
22917	7590	09/20/2005	EXAMINER	
MOTOROLA, INC. 1303 EAST ALGONQUIN ROAD IL01/3RD SCHAUMBURG, IL 60196			RAMPURIA, SHARAD K	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2683	

DATE MAILED: 09/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

10/727,433

Applicant(s)

BELKIN ET AL.

Examiner

Sharad Rampuria

Art Unit

2683

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on \_\_\_\_.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application.  
4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_.
  - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_.

**DETAILED ACTION**

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

I. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) The invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-5, 11-13, 20-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Segal et al. (US 20050119005).

The applied reference has a common assignee or a common inventor with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention “by another,” or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

As per claim 1, Segal teaches:

A wireless communication unit (101; Fig.1, Abstract) arranged and constructed for operation within a loosely coupled communication network (Pg.2; 0017) comprising a first communication network (103; Fig.1) and a second communication network (105; Fig.1), the wireless communication unit (Abstract, Pg.2; 0017) comprising:

A transceiver (203; Fig.2) configured to support an air interface with the first communication network and with the second communication network; (i.e. transceiver; Pg.4; 0028) and

A controller (209; Fig.2) arranged to control and cooperatively operate with the transceiver to retrieve an on-hold call from the first communication network via a call leg established to support a handout to and while the wireless communication unit is operating in the second communication network. (i.e. controller; Pg.4; 0028, Claim 1)

As per claim 2, Segal teaches:

The wireless communication unit of claim 1 wherein the controller cooperatively with the transceiver is operable to one of disconnect and place on-hold an active call over the call leg and then connect the on-hold call, where the on-hold call is coupled from the first communication network to the wireless communication unit via the second communication network over the call leg. (Pg.4; 0028)

As per claim 3, Segal teaches:

The wireless communication unit of claim 1 wherein the on-hold call is one of i) automatically coupled to the wireless communication unit responsive to one of disconnecting and placing on-hold an active call and ii) connected to the wireless communication unit responsive to signaling provided to the first communication network via the call leg by the controller cooperatively with the transceiver. (Pg.4; 0029)

As per claim 4, Segal teaches:

The wireless communication unit of claim 3 wherein the controller cooperatively with the transceiver uses one of in-band and out of band signaling to provide a code to the first communication network that one of connects an on-hold call, disconnects an active call, and places an active call on-hold. (i.e. a unique identifier; Pg.4; 0033)

As per claim 5, Segal teaches:

The wireless communication unit of claim 4 wherein the on-hold call is a plurality of on-hold calls and the controller cooperatively with the transceiver is operable to one of connect, disconnect, and place back on-hold one of the plurality of on-hold calls and to receive a second of the plurality of on-hold calls until all of the plurality of on-hold calls have been disconnected. (Pg.4; 0034)

As per claim 11, Segal teaches:

A communication network switch (112; Fig.1, Abstract) operable to route calls for a first communication network, the communication network switch (112; Fig.1, Abstract) comprising:

A switching function operable to couple the first communication network to a second communication network, where the first communication network and the second communication network comprise a loosely coupled communication network; (Abstract, Pg.2; 0017, Pg.4; 0030)  
and

Art Unit: 2683

A controller arranged to control and cooperatively operate with the switching function to connect, via a call leg to the second communication network, an on-hold call in the first communication network to the wireless communication unit after the call leg is established to support a handout of the wireless communication unit to and while the wireless communication unit is operating in the second communication network. (i.e. controller; Pg.4; 0028, Claim 1)

As per claim 12, Segal teaches:

The communication network switch of claim 11 further comprising a mobility manager that is operable to facilitate mobility of wireless communications units including the wireless communication unit by tracking network contacts for the wireless communication units, wherein the mobility manager cooperatively with the controller and the switching function is operable to establish the call leg between the second communications network and the first communications network. (Pg.4; 0030)

As per claim 13, Segal teaches:

The communication network switch of claim 12 wherein the controller cooperatively with the switching function is operable to connect the on-hold call by one of i) automatically connecting the on-hold call to the wireless communication unit responsive to one of disconnecting and placing on-hold an active call and ii) connecting the on-hold call to the wireless communication unit responsive to signaling received by the communication network switch from the wireless communication unit over the call leg. (Pg.6; 0043)

Art Unit: 2683

As per claim 20, Segal teaches:

A method in a first communication network for routing on-hold calls to a wireless communication unit operating in a second communications network, where the first and second communication network comprise a loosely coupled network, (Abstract, Pg.2; 0017) the method comprising:

Establishing a call leg from the first communication network to the second communication network as part of effecting a hand out of the wireless communication unit to the second communication network; (Abstract, Pg.2; 0017) and

Connecting, via the call leg to the second communication network, an on-hold call at the first communication network to the wireless communication unit after the handout of the wireless communication unit to and while the wireless communication unit is operating in the second communication network. (Pg.4; 0028, Claim 1)

As per claim 21, Segal teaches:

The method of claim 20 further comprising connecting the on-hold call to the wireless communication unit over the call leg, responsive to an active call over the call leg being one of disconnected and placed on-hold. (Pg.4; 0028)

As per claim 22, Segal teaches:

The method of claim 20 wherein the connecting the on-hold call further comprises one of  
i) automatically connecting the on-hold call responsive to an active call being one of disconnected and placed on-hold and ii) connecting the on-hold call responsive to signaling

Art Unit: 2683

received over the call leg at the first communication network from the wireless communication unit. (Pg.4; 0029)

As per claim 23, Segal teaches:

The method of claim 22 further comprising receiving a code via one of in-band and out of band signaling and responsive to the code, one of connecting an on-hold call, disconnecting an active call, and placing an active call on-hold. (i.e. a unique identifier; Pg.4; 0033)

As per claim 24, Segal teaches:

The method of claim 22 wherein the on-hold call is a plurality of on-hold calls and the method further comprises one of connecting, disconnecting, and placing back on-hold one of the plurality of on-hold calls and connecting a second of the plurality of on-hold calls until all of the plurality of on-hold calls have been disconnected. (Pg.4; 0034)

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

II. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.



This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 6-9, 14-18 & 25-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Segal in view of Buttitta et al. [US 5913166].

As per claim 6, Segal teaches all the particulars of the claim except the unique codes includes a code corresponding to each of the plurality of on-hold calls that can be used to connect the each of the plurality of on-hold calls. However, Buttitta teaches in an analogous art, that the wireless communication unit of claim 5 wherein the controller cooperatively with the transceiver uses the in-band signaling to provide unique codes to the first communication network where the unique codes includes a code corresponding to each of the plurality of on-hold calls that can be used to connect the each of the plurality of on-hold calls. (Col.7; 36-Col.8; 4) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include the unique codes includes a code corresponding to each of the plurality of on-hold calls that can be used to connect the each of the plurality of on-hold calls in order to

Art Unit: 2683

provide wireless radio telephones and, more particularly, to radio telephones operative with a private base station and public base stations in a wireless system.

As per claim 7, Segal teaches all the particulars of the claim except the controller cooperatively with the transceiver uses the in-band signaling to one of provide one of the unique codes to disconnect the active call and provide another one of the unique codes to place the active call on-hold. However, Buttitta teaches in an analogous art, that the wireless communication unit of claim 6 wherein the controller cooperatively with the transceiver uses the in-band signaling to one of provide one of the unique codes to disconnect the active call and provide another one of the unique codes to place the active call on-hold. (Col.7; 36-Col.8; 4) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include the controller cooperatively with the transceiver uses the in-band signaling to one of provide one of the unique codes to disconnect the active call and provide another one of the unique codes to place the active call on-hold in order to provide wireless radio telephones and, more particularly, to radio telephones operative with a private base station and public base stations in a wireless system.

As per claim 8, Segal teaches all the particulars of the claim except the user interface is operable to indicate to the controller when to one of disconnect the active call, place the active call on-hold, select the on-hold call that will be connected, select a next on-hold call to be connected, and select a previous on-hold call to be connected. However, Buttitta teaches in an analogous art, that the wireless communication unit of claim 7 further comprising a user

Art Unit: 2683

interface coupled to the controller, wherein the user interface is operable to indicate to the controller when to one of disconnect the active call, place the active call on-hold, select the on-hold call that will be connected, select a next on-hold call to be connected, and select a previous on-hold call to be connected. (Col.7; 36-Col.8; 4) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include the user interface is operable to indicate to the controller when to one of disconnect the active call, place the active call on-hold, select the on-hold call that will be connected, select a next on-hold call to be connected, and select a previous on-hold call to be connected in order to provide wireless radio telephones and, more particularly, to radio telephones operative with a private base station and public base stations in a wireless system.

As per claim 9, Segal teaches all the particulars of the claim except the user interface is operable to select and indicate to the controller which of the plurality of on-hold calls will be connected, where a call identifier for each of the on-hold calls is used by the controller to order the on-hold calls and the code corresponding to each of the plurality of on-hold calls is assigned according to the order, thereby allowing the first communication network and the wireless communication unit to refer to the same on-hold call with a corresponding unique code.

However, Buttitta teaches in an analogous art, that the wireless communication unit of claim 6 further comprising a user interface, wherein the user interface is operable to select and indicate to the controller which of the plurality of on-hold calls will be connected, where a call identifier for each of the on-hold calls is used by the controller to order the on-hold calls and the code corresponding to each of the plurality of on-hold calls is assigned according to the order, thereby

Art Unit: 2683

allowing the first communication network and the wireless communication unit to refer to the same on-hold call with a corresponding unique code. (Col.7; 36-Col.8; 4) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include the user interface is operable to select and indicate to the controller which of the plurality of on-hold calls will be connected, where a call identifier for each of the on-hold calls is used by the controller to order the on-hold calls and the code corresponding to each of the plurality of on-hold calls is assigned according to the order, thereby allowing the first communication network and the wireless communication unit to refer to the same on-hold call with a corresponding unique code in order to provide wireless radio telephones and, more particularly, to radio telephones operative with a private base station and public base stations in a wireless system.

As per claim 14, Segal teaches all the particulars of the claim except the controller cooperatively with the switching function receives a code via one of in-band and out of band signaling and responsive to the code, one of connects an on-hold call, disconnects an active call, and places an active call on-hold. However, Buttitta teaches in an analogous art, that the communication network switch of claim 13 wherein the controller cooperatively with the switching function receives a code via one of in-band and out of band signaling and responsive to the code, one of connects an on-hold call, disconnects an active call, and places an active call on-hold. (Col.7; 36-Col.8; 4) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include the controller cooperatively with the switching function receives a code via one of in-band and out of band signaling and responsive to the code, one of connects an on-hold call, disconnects an active call, and places an active call on-hold in order to

Art Unit: 2683

provide wireless radio telephones and, more particularly, to radio telephones operative with a private base station and public base stations in a wireless system.

As per claim 15, Segal teaches:

The communication network switch of claim 14 wherein the on-hold call is a plurality of on-hold calls and the controller cooperatively with the switching function is operable to one of connect, disconnect, and place back on-hold one of the plurality of on-hold calls and to connect a second of the plurality of on-hold calls until all of the plurality of on-hold calls have been disconnected. (i.e. a unique identifier; Pg.4; 0033)

As per claim 16, Segal teaches all the particulars of the claim except the controller cooperatively with the switching function receives unique codes via the in-band signaling, where the unique codes include a code corresponding to each one of the plurality of on-hold calls and indicates to the communication network switch which of the plurality of on-hold calls should be connected. However, Buttitta teaches in an analogous art, that the communication network switch of claim 15 wherein the controller cooperatively with the switching function receives unique codes via the in-band signaling, where the unique codes include a code corresponding to each one of the plurality of on-hold calls and indicates to the communication network switch which of the plurality of on-hold calls should be connected. (Col.7; 36-Col.8; 4) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include the controller cooperatively with the switching function receives unique codes via the in-band signaling, where the unique codes include a code corresponding to each one of the plurality of

Art Unit: 2683

on-hold calls and indicates to the communication network switch which of the plurality of on-hold calls should be connected in order to provide wireless radio telephones and, more particularly, to radio telephones operative with a private base station and public base stations in a wireless system.

As per claim 17, Segal teaches all the particulars of the claim except the controller cooperatively with the switching function receives, via the in-band signaling, one of the unique codes to indicate that the active call should be disconnected and another one of the unique codes to indicate that the active call should be placed on-hold. However, Buttitta teaches in an analogous art, that the communication network switch of claim 16 wherein the controller cooperatively with the switching function receives, via the in-band signaling, one of the unique codes to indicate that the active call should be disconnected and another one of the unique codes to indicate that the active call should be placed on-hold. (Col.7; 36-Col.8; 4) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include the controller cooperatively with the switching function receives, via the in-band signaling, one of the unique codes to indicate that the active call should be disconnected and another one of the unique codes to indicate that the active call should be placed on-hold in order to provide wireless radio telephones and, more particularly, to radio telephones operative with a private base station and public base stations in a wireless system.

As per claim 18, Segal teaches all the particulars of the claim except the mobility manager is further operable to order the plurality of on-hold calls according to a call identifier

Art Unit: 2683

corresponding to each of the on-hold calls and assign the code corresponding to each of the plurality of on-hold calls according to the order, thereby allowing the first communication network and the wireless communication unit to refer to the same on-hold call with a corresponding unique code. However, Buttitta teaches in an analogous art, that the communication network switch of claim 17 wherein the mobility manager is further operable to order the plurality of on-hold calls according to a call identifier corresponding to each of the on-hold calls and assign the code corresponding to each of the plurality of on-hold calls according to the order, thereby allowing the first communication network and the wireless communication unit to refer to the same on-hold call with a corresponding unique code. (Col.7; 36-Col.8; 4) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include the mobility manager is further operable to order the plurality of on-hold calls according to a call identifier corresponding to each of the on-hold calls and assign the code corresponding to each of the plurality of on-hold calls according to the order, thereby allowing the first communication network and the wireless communication unit to refer to the same on-hold call with a corresponding unique code in order to provide wireless radio telephones and, more particularly, to radio telephones operative with a private base station and public base stations in a wireless system.

As per claim 25, Segal teaches all the particulars of the claim except the unique codes include a code corresponding to each one of the plurality of on-hold calls and indicating which of the plurality of on-hold calls should be connected. However, Buttitta teaches in an analogous art, that the method of claim 24 further comprising receiving unique codes via in-band signaling,

Art Unit: 2683

where the unique codes include a code corresponding to each one of the plurality of on-hold calls and indicating which of the plurality of on-hold calls should be connected. (Col.7; 36-Col.8; 4) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include the unique codes include a code corresponding to each one of the plurality of on-hold calls and indicating which of the plurality of on-hold calls should be connected in order to provide wireless radio telephones and, more particularly, to radio telephones operative with a private base station and public base stations in a wireless system.

As per claim 26, Segal teaches all the particulars of the claim except one of the unique codes to indicate that the active call should be disconnected and another one of the unique codes to indicate that the active call should be placed on-hold. However, Buttitta teaches in an analogous art, that the method of claim 25 further comprising receiving, via the in-band signaling, one of the unique codes to indicate that the active call should be disconnected and another one of the unique codes to indicate that the active call should be placed on-hold. (Col.7; 36-Col.8; 4) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include one of the unique codes to indicate that the active call should be disconnected and another one of the unique codes to indicate that the active call should be placed on-hold in order to provide wireless radio telephones and, more particularly, to radio telephones operative with a private base station and public base stations in a wireless system.

As per claim 27, Segal teaches all the particulars of the claim except the plurality of on-hold calls according to a call identifier corresponding to each of the on-hold calls and assigning



Art Unit: 2683

the code corresponding to each of the plurality of on-hold calls according to the order, thereby allowing the first communication network and the wireless communication unit to refer to the same on-hold call with a corresponding unique code. However, Buttitta teaches in an analogous art, that the method of claim 26 further comprising ordering the plurality of on-hold calls according to a call identifier corresponding to each of the on-hold calls and assigning the code corresponding to each of the plurality of on-hold calls according to the order, thereby allowing the first communication network and the wireless communication unit to refer to the same on-hold call with a corresponding unique code. (Col.7; 36-Col.8; 4) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include the plurality of on-hold calls according to a call identifier corresponding to each of the on-hold calls and assigning the code corresponding to each of the plurality of on-hold calls according to the order, thereby allowing the first communication network and the wireless communication unit to refer to the same on-hold call with a corresponding unique code in order to provide wireless radio telephones and, more particularly, to radio telephones operative with a private base station and public base stations in a wireless system.

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Segal in view of Ejzak [US 6871070].

As per claim 10, Segal teaches all the particulars of the claim except the signaling is one of Dual Tone Multi Frequency (DTMF) signaling. However, Ejzak teaches in an analogous art, that the wireless communication unit of claim 3 wherein the signaling is one of Dual Tone

Art Unit: 2683

Multi Frequency (DTMF) signaling and session initiation protocol (SIP) signaling. (Col.6; 1-7) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include the signaling is one of Dual Tone Multi Frequency (DTMF) signaling in order to provide communication systems, and more particularly to a third generation wireless communication system.

Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Segal & Buttitta further in view of Ejzak.

As per claim 19, the above combinations teach all the particulars of the claim except the signaling is one of Dual Tone Multi Frequency (DTMF) signaling. However, Ejzak teaches in an analogous art, that the communication network switch of claim 18 wherein the signaling is one of Dual Tone Multi Frequency (DTMF) signaling and session initiation protocol (SIP) signaling. (Col.6; 1-7) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to include the signaling is one of Dual Tone Multi Frequency (DTMF) signaling in order to provide communication systems, and more particularly to a third generation wireless communication system.

### ***Conclusion***

III. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's discloser.

Art Unit: 2683

Roeder teaches a method for call transferring in a communication system includes establishing a first call connection between a mobile station and a first telephonic device. The mobile station is operable to communicate with a first client, and the first telephonic device is operable to communicate with a second client. The first client is operable to communicate with the second client. The method also includes placing the first telephonic device on hold, and establishing an alerting call connection between the mobile station and a second telephonic device. The second telephonic device is operable to communicate with a third client, and the third client is operable to communicate with the first and second clients. The method further includes instructing the first client to produce ring back tone for the mobile station, and transferring the first telephonic device to the second telephonic device.

IV. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sharad Rampuria whose telephone number is (571) 272-7870. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F. (8:30-5).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Trost can be reached on (571) 272-7872. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://portal.uspto.gov/external/portal/pair>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free) or [EBC@uspto.gov](mailto:EBC@uspto.gov).

Sharad Rampuria  
Examiner  
Art Unit 2683

September 12, 2005



WILLIAM TROST  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600